28th September 1956]

SRI S. RAMALINGAM : கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே, தென்னாட்டில் சினிமாத் தொழில் குறிப்பாக அதிகமாய் வளர்ந்து இருக்கிறது. சினிமாப் படங்களின் மூலமாக நாட்டின் கலை, கலாசாரம், பண்பாடு ஆகியவற்றை அதிகமாக வளர்ப்பதற்கு வாய்ப்பும் இருக்கிறது. ஆனால் நம் நாட்டுப் படங்களில் அதிகமாக அமெரிக்க நாகரீகம், பண்பாடு முதலியவற்றை படத்தில் புகுத்தி படங்களை ஆபாசமாக்கிவிடுகிறார்கள். கனம் ஸ்ரீ பழனியாண்டி அவர்கள் சினிமாத் தொழிலாளர்களிடையே தனக்கு இருக்கும் செல்வாக்கைப் பயன்படுத்தி தென்னாட்டுப் பட முதலாளிகள் இந்நாட்டின் பண்பு, கலாசாரம், நாகரீகம் இவற்றை வளர்ப்பதற்கு ஏற்ற வகையில் படம் தயாரிக்கும்படியாகச் செய்யவேண்டுமென்று கேட்டுகொண்டு பேச்சை முடித்துக் கொள்கிறேன்.

MR. SPEAKER : The question is—

"That the Madras Cinemas (Regulations) Amendment Bill, 1956, be passed."

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

(3) THE MADRAS CIVIL COURTS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1956.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to introduce the Madras Civil Courts (Amendment) Bill[a], 1956, and move—

"That the Bill be taken into consideration."

This Bill is intended to extend the jurisdiction of the District Courts to suits valued up to Rs. 10,000 because of the new valuation which we have adopted under the Court Fees Act and also in view of the fact that with the general rise in prices, the value of the rupee has gone down to that extent."

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved—

"That the Madras Civil Courts (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI : "Sir, while supporting this Bill, I would like to point out that the Madras Advocates' Association has taken objection to the passing of this Bill. Unfortunately the Madras Advocates have taken up the position that if this Bill is passed, the amount of work that will be available to them will be lessened. I think it is a very strange consideration for the citizens to take into account. After all, it is the question of the convenience of the public that has got to be taken into consideration. If the public can get an opportunity to get their claims settled at the district level instead of coming all the way to Madras, then it has to be welcomed. I hope the Madras Advocates will change their views and take into consideration all the facts of the case."

MR. SPEAKER : The question is—

"That the Madras Civil Courts (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration."

---

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th September 1956.

[Mr. Speaker] [28th September 1956

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was taken into consideration.

Clause 2 was put and carried.

Clause 1 and the Preamble were put and carried.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, I move—

"That the Madras Civil Courts (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be passed."

MR. SPEAKER : The question is—

"That the Madras Civil Courts (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be passed."

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

(4) THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (MADRAS AMENDMENT) BILL, 1956.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to introduce the Code of Criminal Procedure (Madras Amendment) Bill [a], 1956, and move—

"That the Bill be taken into consideration."

Hon. Members particularly lawyer-members have been pressing for the introduction and passing of this Bill. It is only in pursuance of that, this Bill has been brought.

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved—

"That the Code of Criminal Procedure (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration."

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN : Mr. Speaker, Sir, while supporting this Bill, I wish to congratulate the Government for having brought forward this Bill which is going to be passed into law to-day. During the course of a year or so when the salutary provision for appeals to the Su-divisional Magistrates did not exist, consequent upon the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act passed by the Parliament last year, several clients and lawyers were put to a lot of difficulties. They could not have easy access to their own courts situated in their towns like the Subdivisional Magistrate Courts and for every appeal whether big or small, they had to resort unnecessarily to the District Court or the Sessions Court. That was rally operating to a very great extent to the detriment of both the clients and lawyers. Much of the money and time were wasted, without benefiting anybody. I am really glad that so soon after the assurance given on the floor of the House by the Hon. Minister for Law, this Bill has been brought forward and once again I congratulate the Government for that.

---

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 19th September 1956.

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY